arXiv:math-ph/0606019v1 6 Jun 2006

# The Discrete AKNS-D Hierarchy

Xiaoning Wu[1]
Institute of Applied Mathematics,
Academy of Mathematics and System Science,
Chinese Academy of Sciences,
P.O.Box 2734, Beijing, China, 100080.

### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the discrete AKNS-D hierarchy, find the construction of the hierarchy, prove the bilinear identity and give the construction of the $\tau$-functions of this hierarchy.

PACS : 02.30.Ik, 11.10.Ef

Keywords : Discrete integrable system, AKNS-D hierarchy

## 1 Introduction

The integrable system theory is an elegant achievement in mathematics, which is developed in last century. Recently, many authors have paid attention to consider the discrete and semi discrete integrable system(one variable is discrete). A nice review is given by the book edited by Bobenko and Seiler [1]. One of the well studied topics is the q-deformed KP hierarchy [2]-[8]. In [9], we studied the q-deformation of AKNS-D hierarchy. The lax pair has been found, the bilinear identity has been proved and $\tau$-function has been constructed. All these results show that the q-deformed integrable system shares similar properties with the continuous case. However, all above results are about the q-difference operator. It is still far away from the standard discrete system, for example, the variable $x$ is still in $\mathbf{R}$ instead of in $\mathbf{Z}$. An natural question is whether we can construct a discrete system which shears the similar properties. The answer is positive. P.Iliev considers this problem for KP hierarchy[10]. In this paper, we want to consider this problem in AKNS-D hierarchy case.

This paper is organized as following: section II briefly reviews the classical AKNS-D hierarchy. Section III contains the main results of this paper. In the first part of this section, we review some basic results of discrete calculation. In the second part, we give the definition of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy. we also give the Lax pair of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy. In the third part, we define the Baker function of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy and prove the Hirota bilinear identity. The construction of $\tau$-function is contained by the last part. In this part, we also consider the deformed difference operator $\Delta_\epsilon$ and the relation between the discrete AKNS-D hierarchy and classical AKNS-D hierarchy.

## 2 Basic results on AKNS-D hierarchy

We will give a brief review of AKNS-D hierarchy. Details can be found in any standard text book.

---

[1] e-mail : wuxn@amss.ac.cn

Let $L=\partial_x-zA+U$, where $A=diag(a_1,\cdots,a_m)$ and $U$ is a $m\times m$ matrix function of $(x,t_{k\alpha})$ with $u_{ii}=0,\ \forall i$. Here $k=0,1,2,\cdots,\ \alpha=1,\cdots,m$. The resolvent $R$ of $L$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R^{(k)}z^k, \\ [L,R] &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

All resolvent form an algebra over the field of series $c(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}c_k z^{-k}$. This algebra has a set of basis $\{R_\alpha\}$ which satisfies $R_\alpha^{(0)}=E_\alpha$. $E_\alpha$ is a constant matrix and the component of $E_\alpha$ is $\delta_{i\alpha}\delta_{j\alpha}$. Denote $B_{k\alpha}:=(z^kR_\alpha)_+$, where the subscript "+" means taking the non-negative power terms of $z$. The AKNS-D hierarchy is the set of equations

$$\partial_{k\alpha}L=[B_{k\alpha},L], \tag{2}$$

where $\partial_{k\alpha}$ means $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k\alpha}}$.

We can also define the dressing operator $\hat{w}$ of $L$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}(z) &= I+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k z^{-k}, \\ L\hat{w} &= \hat{w}\cdot(\partial_x-zA). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The formal Baker function $w(z)$ is

$$w(z)=\hat{w}(z)\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} z^kE_\alpha t_{k\alpha}\right). \tag{4}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= w\partial_x w^{-1}, \\ R_\alpha &= wE_\alpha w^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and the Lax pair form of AKNS-D hierarchy is

$$\begin{aligned} L(w) &= 0, \\ \partial_{k\alpha}w &= B_{k\alpha}w. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Denote $w^*=(w^{-1})^T$, an very important property of Baker function is the following Hirota bilinear identity.

**Proposition 1** *(Hirota bilinear identity)*
*Bilinear relation*

$$res_z[z^l(\partial_{k_1\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_{k_s\alpha_s}w)\cdot(w^*)^T]=0$$

*holds for any $l=0,1,\cdots$ and $(k_1,\alpha_1),\cdots,(k_s,\alpha_s)$. Conversely, any two functions*

$$\begin{aligned} w &= (I+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j z^{-j})\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} z^kE_\alpha t_{k\alpha}\right) \\ w^* &= (I+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j^* z^{-j})\exp\left(-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} z^kE_\alpha t_{k\alpha}\right) \end{aligned}$$

*satisfy above bilinear relation, then $w^{-1}=(w^*)^T$ and $w$ is a baker function of an $L$ which satisfies the hierarchy equations 2 .*

# 3 The discrete AKNS-D hierarchy

## 3.1 Discrete calculations

In this section, we want to give some basic facts about the discrete calculations which will be needed later. We will use the notations given by [10]. In order to avoid possible misunderstanding, we use $A(f)$ or $(Af)$ to denote an operator $A$ acts on a function $f$ and $AB$ or $A \cdot B$ to denote the operator multiplication.

First, we introduce two operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(f) &:= f(n+1), && (7)\\ \Delta(f) &:= (\Lambda - I)(f) = f(n+1) - f(n), && (8) \end{aligned}$$

where $f$ is a function on $\mathbf{Z}$. $\Lambda$ is the shift operator and $\Delta$ is the difference operator. For difference operator, the Leibnitz's Law is

$$\Delta(fg) = (\Lambda f) \cdot (\Delta g) + (\Delta f) \cdot g = (\Delta f) \cdot (\Lambda g) + f \cdot (\Delta g), \tag{9}$$

where $f$ and $g$ are functions on $\mathbf{Z}$.

In ref.[10], the author gives the definition of discrete exponential function $Exp(n;t,z)$ as

$$Exp(n;t,z) := (1+z)^n \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k z^k\right) = \exp\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(t_k + n\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}\right) z^k\right] \tag{10}$$

Under the action of difference operator, the behavior of $Exp(n;t,z)$ is just like the ordinary exponential function under the action of partial differential operator, i.e.

$$\Delta Exp(n;t,z) = z Exp(n;t,z). \tag{11}$$

It is easy to see that $Exp(n;t,z)$ has a formal inverse, i.e $Exp^{-1}(n;t,z) = Exp(-n;-t,z)$. We use the word "formal" because $Exp(n;t,z)Exp^{-1}(-n;-t,z) = 1$ but $Exp^{-1}(n;t,z)$ is ill-defined at $z \neq -1$. What we want to emphasis is $Exp(n;t,z)Exp^{-1}(-n;-t,z)$ is well-defined ever where. This is an important property which we need later.

For two operators, we introduce the discrete commutator as

$$[A,B]_D := (\Lambda A) \cdot B - B \cdot A. \tag{12}$$

We also need to introduce an $L^2$-inner product on the matrix-function space over $\mathbf{Z}$. It takes the form

$$< f, g > := \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} tr(f \cdot g). \tag{13}$$

Under this metric, we get the dual operator of $\Delta$ as

$$\Delta^*(f) = (\Lambda^{-1} - I)(f) = f(n-1) - f(n). \tag{14}$$

## 3.2 Discrete AKNS-D hierarchy

Let $L_D = \Delta - zA + U$, where $A = diag(a_1, \cdots, a_m)$ and $U$ is a $m \times m$ matrix function on $\mathbf{Z}$ with $u_{ii} = 0$ for any $i$. Like the classical AKNS-D hierarchy, we define the resolvent $R$ for $L_D$ as

$$R = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R_{(i)} z^{-i}, \tag{15}$$

$$[R, L_D]_D = 0. \tag{16}$$

Above equations can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta R_{(i)} - [R_{(i)}, U]_D + [R_{(i+1)}, A]_D &= 0, \\ [R_{(0)}, A]_D &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

**Lemma 1** *All of resolvents form an algebra over the field of the formal series* $c(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i z^{-i}$ *and we denote it as* $\Re$.

Proof:

1) It is easy to see that $c(z)R^1 + f(z)R^2$ is a resolvent of $L_D$ if $R^1$ and $R^2$ are all resolvents.

2) If $R^1$ and $R^2$ are two resolvents of $L_D$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [R^1 R^2, L_D]_D \\ = \; & (\Lambda R^1)[R^2, L_D]_D + [R^1, L_D]_D R^2 \\ = \; & 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

So we get $\Re$ is an algebra over the field of $c(z)$.□

We define the dressing operator $\hat{w}_D(z)$ as

$$\hat{w}_D := I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k z^{-k}, \tag{19}$$

which satisfies

$$L_D \hat{w}_D = (\Lambda \hat{w}_D) \cdot (\Delta - zA). \tag{20}$$

Using the formal extension (19), we can solve $\hat{w}_D$ order by order, so the existence of $\hat{w}_D$ is obvious.

**Lemma 2** $R_\alpha = \hat{w}_D E_\alpha \hat{w}_D^{-1}$ *is a resolvent and satisfies* $R_\alpha \cdot R_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} R_\beta$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & [R_\alpha, L_D]_D \\ = \; & (\Lambda \hat{w}_D) E_\alpha (\Lambda \hat{w}_D)^{-1} \cdot (\Delta - zA + U) - (\Delta - zA + U) \hat{w}_D E_\alpha \hat{w}_D^{-1} \\ = \; & (\Lambda \hat{w}_D) E_\alpha (\Lambda \hat{w}_D)^{-1} \cdot (\Lambda \hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA) \hat{w}_D^{-1} - (\Lambda \hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA) \hat{w}_D^{-1} \cdot \hat{w}_D E_\alpha \hat{w}_D^{-1} \\ = \; & (\Lambda \hat{w}_D)[E_\alpha, (\Delta - zA)] \hat{w}_D \end{aligned}$$

$$= \; 0. \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & R_\alpha R_\beta \\ = \;& \hat{w}_D E_\alpha \hat{w}_D^{-1} \cdot \hat{w}_D E_\beta \hat{w}_D^{-1} \\ = \;& \hat{w}_D E_\alpha E_\beta \hat{w}_D^{-1} \\ = \;& \hat{w}_D \delta_{\alpha\beta} E_\beta \hat{w}_D^{-1} \\ = \;& \delta_{\alpha\beta} R_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Then we prove this lemma.□

**Lemma 3** *Each $R$ can be fixed by the zero order term $R_{(0)}$ and $\{R_\alpha|\alpha = 1, \cdots .m\}$ form a basis of $\Re$.*

Proof: From the second Equation of (17), we find $R_{(0)}$ must be diagonal and constant for $n$ (here we require all functions will be bounded as $n \to \pm\infty$). Using the first equation of (17), we can solve each $R_{(i)}$ order by order. The only freedom of $R_{(i)}$ is a constant diagonal part of $R_{(i)}$ wich can be chosen as zero. So the linear independent solutions should be those which satisfies $R_{(0)} = E_\alpha$. That is the reason why $R_\alpha$ form a basis of $\Re$.□

We define $B_{k\alpha} := (z^k R_\alpha)_+$ and $\bar{B}_{k\alpha} := z^k R_\alpha - B_{k\alpha}$. With these notations, we make following definitions.

**Definition 1** *The discrete AKNS-D hierarchy in Lax pair form is*

$$\begin{aligned} L_D \hat{w}_D &= (\Lambda \hat{w}_D) \cdot (\Delta - zA), \\ \partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_D &= -\bar{B}_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_D. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

**Definition 2** *The discrete AKNS-D hierarchy is defined as*

$$\partial_{k\alpha} L_D = [B_{k\alpha}, L_D]_D. \tag{24}$$

The relation between above two definitions of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy is expressed in following theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Definition 1 and definition 2 are equivalent.*

Proof:

1) If we have $L_D$ and $\hat{w}_D$ satisfy definition 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{k\alpha} L_D &= \partial_{k\alpha}[(\Lambda \hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA)\hat{w}_D^{-1}] \\ &= -(\Lambda \bar{B}_{k\alpha})(\Lambda \hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA)\hat{w}_D^{-1} + (\Lambda \hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA)\partial_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D^{-1} \\ &= -(\Lambda \bar{B}_{k\alpha})(\Lambda \hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA)\hat{w}_D^{-1} - (\Lambda \hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA)\hat{w}_D^{-1}(\partial_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D)\hat{w}_D^{-1} \\ &= -(\Lambda \bar{B}_{k\alpha})(\Lambda \hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA)\hat{w}_D^{-1} + (\Lambda \hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA)\hat{w}_D^{-1}\bar{B}_{k\alpha} \\ &= -[\bar{B}_{k\alpha}, L_D]_D \\ &= [B_{k\alpha}, L_D]_D. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

2) Conversely, if we have $L_D$ which satisfies definition 2. Based on the definition (19), we get the dressing operator $\hat{w}_D$. Further more, we can also construct the resolvent $R_\alpha$.

Because $L_D$ satisfies definition 2 and $R_\beta$ is resolvent of $L_D$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta, L_D]_D \\
= \;& \partial_{k\alpha}[R_\beta, L_D]_D - [R_\beta, \partial_{k\alpha} L_D]_D \\
= \;& -[R_\beta, [B_{k\alpha}, L_D]_D]_D \\
= \;& -(\Lambda R_\beta)(\Lambda B_{k\alpha}) L_D + (\Lambda B_{k\alpha})(\Lambda R_\beta) L_D + L_D R_\beta B_{k\alpha} - L_D B_{k\alpha} R_\beta \\
= \;& [[B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta], L_D]_D,
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

i.e. $\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]$ is a resolvent of $L_D$. Keep lemma 3 in mind, we know

$$\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta] = \sum_\gamma c_\gamma(z) R_\gamma \tag{27}$$

Choosing any $R_\eta$, $\eta \neq \beta$, lemma 2 tells us

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_\eta(\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]) \\
= \;& R_\eta \partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - R_\eta B_{k\alpha} R_\beta \\
= \;& -(\partial_{k\alpha} R_\eta) R_\beta + [B_{k\alpha}, R_\eta] R_\beta \\
= \;& (-\sum_\gamma \tilde{c}_\gamma(z) R_\gamma) R_\beta \\
= \;& -\tilde{c}_\beta(z) R_\beta \\
= \;& c_\eta(z) R_\eta.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Because we have known that $R_\eta$ and $R_\beta$ are linear independent, we have $\tilde{c}_\beta(z) = c_\eta(z) = 0$, so we have $\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta] = c(z) R_\beta$. Repeat above method, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]) R_\beta \\
= \;& c(z) R_\beta \\
= \;& \partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta] - (\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]) R_\beta \\
= \;& 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

that tells us that $\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\eta] = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{k\alpha} z^l R_\beta - \partial_{l\beta} z^k R_\alpha)_+ \\
= \;& [B_{k\alpha}, z^l R_\beta]_+ - [B_{l\beta}, z^k R_\alpha]_+ \\
= \;& [B_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}]_+ + [B_{k\alpha}, \bar{B}_{l\beta}]_+ + [B_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}]_+ + [\bar{B}_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}]_+ \\
= \;& [B_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}] + [B_{k\alpha}, z^l R_\beta]_+ + [\bar{B}_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}]_+ \\
= \;& [B_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}] + [B_{k\alpha}, z^l R_\beta]_+ + [\bar{B}_{k\alpha}, z^l R_\beta]_+ - [\bar{B}_{k\alpha}, \bar{B}_{l\beta}]_+ \\
= \;& [B_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}],
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

so we have $\partial_{k\alpha} B_{l\beta} - \partial_{l\beta} B_{k\alpha} = [B_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}]$. Like the classical case, we just extend the operator $\partial_{k\alpha}$ on $\hat{w}_D$ by requiring $\partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_D = -\bar{B}_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_D$. Above result insures the commutation relation $[\partial_{k\alpha}, \partial_{l\beta}] = 0$, then we prove this theorem. □

## 3.3 Baker function and bilinear identity of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy

We define the Baker function of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy as

$$w_D := \hat{w}_D \cdot g(n; t, z) = \hat{w}_D \cdot (1 + zA)^n \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} z^k E_\alpha t_{k\alpha}\right). \tag{31}$$

Using Eq.(11), it is easy to see that

$$g(n;t,z) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} t'_{k\alpha}E_\alpha z^k\right), \tag{32}$$

where $t'_{k\alpha} = t_{k\alpha} + n\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}(a_\alpha)^k$. With this definition, we have following result,

**Lemma 4**

$$\begin{aligned} L_D(w_D) &= 0,\\ \partial_{k\alpha}(w_D) &= B_{k\alpha}w_D. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} L_D(w_D) &= (\Lambda\hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA)\hat{w}_D^{-1}\cdot\hat{w}_D g(n;t,z)\\ &= (\Lambda\hat{w}_D)(\Delta - zA)g(n;t,z)\\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{k\alpha}(w_D) &= \partial_{k\alpha}(\hat{w}_D g(n;t,z))\\ &= -\bar{B}_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D g(n;t,z) + \hat{w}_D z^k E_\alpha g(n;t,z)\\ &= -\bar{B}_{k\alpha}w_D + z^k R_\alpha w_D\\ &= B_{k\alpha}w_D. \qquad \Box \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Denote ${w_D}^* = ({w_D}^{-1})^T$, we get

$${L_D}^*({w_D}^*) = 0, \tag{36}$$

where ${L_D}^*$ is the dual operator of $L_D$ under the $L^2$-inner product (13). With the definition of Baker function, we can prove the Hirota bilinear identity of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy.

**Theorem 2** *(Bilinear identity)*
*I) If $w_D$ is a solution of Eq.(33), it satisfies following identity,*

$$res_z(z^l(\Delta^m\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]}w_D)\cdot {w_D}^{-1}) = 0,$$

*for any $l = 0,1,\cdots$, $m = 0,1$ and $\forall$ $[\lambda]$.( Here $\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} = \partial_{k_1\alpha_1}\partial_{k_2\alpha_2}\cdots\partial_{k_s\alpha_s}$, and $(k_1,\alpha_1),\cdots,(k_s,\alpha_s)$ are set of index)*
*II) Conversely, if we have two functions $w_D$ and ${w_D}^*$*

$$\begin{aligned} w_D &= (1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}w_k z^{-k})g(n;t,z),\\ {w_D}^* &= (1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}w_k^* z^{-k})g(-n;-t,z), \end{aligned}$$

*which satisfy*

$$res_z\left\{z^l(\Delta^m\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]}w_D)\cdot({w_D}^*)^T\right\} = 0,$$

*for any $l = 0,1,2,\cdots$, $m = 0,1$ and $\forall$ $[\lambda]$, then it implies*
*1. ${w_D}^{-1} = ({w_D}^*)^T$,*
*2. $w_D$ is a solution of Eq.(33).*

Proof:

1) If $w_D$ is a solution of Eq.(33), $\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w_D = f(B_{k\alpha}) \cdot w_D$, where $f(B_{k\alpha})$ is a differential polynomial of $\{B_{k\alpha}\}$ and $(f(B_{k\alpha}))_+ = f(B_{k\alpha})$. The bilinear identity is

$$\begin{aligned}
& res_z[z^l(\Delta^m \partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w_D) \cdot {w_D}^{-1}] \\
= \ & res_z\left\{z^l[\Delta^m f(B_{k\alpha}) w_D] \cdot {w_D}^{-1}\right\} \\
= \ & res_z\left\{z^l[\Delta^m f(B_{k\alpha})] w_D \cdot {w_D}^{-1}\right\} + res_z\left\{z^l[\Lambda^m f(B_{k\alpha})][\Delta^m w_D] \cdot {w_D}^{-1}\right\} \\
= \ & res_z\left\{z^l[\Delta^m f(B_{k\alpha})]\right\} + res_z\left\{z^l[\Lambda^m f(B_{k\alpha})](zA - U)^m\right\} \\
= \ & 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

2) If $w_D$ and ${w_D}^*$ satisfy the bilinear identity, we take $m = 0$ and $[\lambda] = 0$, so we have ${w_D}^{-1} = ({w_D}^*)^T$ because the bilinear identity holds for any $l \geq 0$.

Consider following equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{k\alpha} w_D - B_{k\alpha} w_D \\
= \ & \partial_{k\alpha}(\hat{w}_D \ g(n;t,z)) - B_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D \ g(n;t,z) \\
= \ & (\partial_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D) g(n;t,z) + \hat{w}_D \ z^k E_\alpha g(n;t,z) - B_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D \ g(n;t,z) \\
= \ & (\partial_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D) g(n;t,z) + z^k R_\alpha \hat{w}_D \ g(n;t,z) - B_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D \ g(n;t,z) \\
= \ & (\partial_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D + \bar{B}_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D) g(n;t,z).
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The bilinear identity tells us

$$res_z[z^l(\partial_{k\alpha} w_D - B_{k\alpha} w_D) \cdot ({w_D}^*)^T] = 0. \tag{39}$$

Using above result, we re-write this equation as

$$\begin{aligned}
& res_z[z^l(\partial_{k\alpha} w_D - B_{k\alpha} w_D) \cdot ({w_D}^*)^T] \\
= \ & res_z[z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D + \bar{B}_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D) g(n;t,z) \cdot (\hat{w}_D^* g(-n;-t,z))^T] \\
= \ & res_z[z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D + \bar{B}_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D) \cdot (\hat{w}_D^*)^T] \\
= \ & 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The zero result of Eq.(39) tells us that the terms in bracket contain no negative power of $z$, but we can also see that there is no positive power term in the bracket of last line of Eq.(40) and the zero order term of it is 0, then we get

$$\partial_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D + \bar{B}_{k\alpha}\hat{w}_D = 0. \tag{41}$$

Introduce $L_D = (\Lambda w_D) \cdot \Delta {w_D}^{-1} = \Delta + (\Lambda w_D) \cdot (\Delta {w_D}^{-1}) = \Delta - (\Delta w_D) \cdot {w_D}^{-1}$, the formal extension of $w_D$ tells us that the highest power term in $L_D$ is $zA$ and bilinear identity implies $(\Delta w_D) \cdot {w_D}^{-1}$ contains no negative power term. The zero order term gives $-U$, then we finish the proof.□

## 3.4 $\tau$-function of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy

In classical AKNS-D hierarchy, we can introduce $\tau$-function to construct the Baker function $w$[11, 12, 13]. The relation between $\tau$-function and Baker function for classical AKNS-D hierarchy is

$$\begin{aligned}(w)_{\alpha\beta} &= z^{-1}\frac{\tau_{\alpha\beta}(\cdots,t_{k\gamma}-\frac{1}{k}z^{-k},\cdots)}{\tau_D(t)},\\ (w)_{\alpha\alpha} &= \frac{\tau(\cdots,t_{k\gamma}-\frac{1}{k}z^{-k},\cdots)}{\tau(t)}.\end{aligned}\tag{42}$$

Define the discrete shift of $t_{k\alpha}$ as $t'_{k\alpha}=t_{k\alpha}+n\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}\ (a_\alpha)^k$. If $\tau$ is a $\tau$-function of classical AKNS-D hierarchy, we denote $\tau_D(n;t)$ as $\tau_D(n;t)=\tau(t')$ and call $\tau_D$ as the $\tau$-function of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy. Like the classical case, we also use relation (42) to define $w_D$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}(w_D)_{\alpha\beta} &= z^{-1}\frac{(\tau_D)_{\alpha\beta}(\cdots,t_{k\gamma}-\frac{1}{k}z^{-k},\cdots)}{\tau_D(t)},\\ (w_D)_{\alpha\alpha} &= \frac{\tau_D(\cdots,t_{k\gamma}-\frac{1}{k}z^{-k},\cdots)}{\tau_D(t)}.\end{aligned}\tag{43}$$

then we have following theorem.

**Theorem 3** *The function $w_D$ defined by Eq.(43) is a baker function of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy (24).*

Proof: Because of the definition in (43), it is easy to see that $\hat{w}_D(t)=\hat{w}(t')$. We have know that the classical $\tau$-function satisfies bilinear identity. What we need to show is above $\hat{w}_D$ satisfies bilinear identity in Theorem 2.

For $m=0$, because $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k\alpha}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t'_{k\alpha}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & res_z[z^l(\partial^{[\lambda]}_{k\alpha}w_D)\cdot w^{-1}]\\ =\ & res_z[z^l(\partial^{[\lambda]}_{k\alpha}w(t'))\cdot w^{-1}(t')]\\ =\ & res_z[z^l(\partial'^{[\lambda]}_{k\alpha}w(t'))\cdot w^{-1}(t')]\\ =\ & 0.\end{aligned}\tag{44}$$

For $m=1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & res_z[z^l(\Delta\partial^{[\lambda]}_{k\alpha}w_D)\cdot {w_D}^{-1}]\\ =\ & res_z\left\{z^l[\partial^{[\lambda]}_{k\alpha}w(t_{s\beta}+(n+1)\frac{(-1)^{s-1}}{s}\ (a_\beta)^s)]\cdot w^{-1}(t_{s\beta}+n\frac{(-1)^{s-1}}{s}\ (a_\beta)^s)\right\}\\ & -res_z\left\{z^l[\partial^{[\lambda]}_{k\alpha}w(t_{s\beta}+n\frac{(-1)^{s-1}}{s}\ (a_\beta)^s)]\cdot w^{-1}(t_{s\beta}+n\frac{(-1)^{s-1}}{s}\ (a_\beta)^s)\right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{45}$$

The second term of above equation is zero because of the bilinear identity of classical AKNS-D hierarchy. Taking the formal Taylor extension of function $w(t_{s\beta}+(n+1)\frac{(-1)^{s-1}}{s}(a_\beta)^s)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & res_z\left\{z^l[\partial^{[\lambda]}_{k\alpha}w(t_{s\beta}+(n+1)\frac{(-1)^{s-1}}{s}\ (a_\beta)^s)]\cdot w^{-1}(t_{s\beta}+n\frac{(-1)^{s-1}}{s}\ (a_\beta)^s)\right\}\\ =\ & \sum_{j,\gamma,\eta}c([\eta])\left(\frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j}(a_\gamma)^j\right)^{[\eta]}res_z\left\{z^l[\partial^{[\lambda]}_{k\alpha}\partial^{[\eta]}_{j\gamma}w(t'_{s\beta})]\cdot w^{-1}(t'_{s\beta})\right\}\\ =\ & 0,\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

where $c([\eta])$ are Taylor extension coefficients. Above zero result comes from classical bilinear identity because all terms in the summation are zero. This implies the $\tau$-function got from the shifting method is really a $\tau$-function of discrete AKNS-D hierarchy. $\Box$

Finally, we also want to consider the relation between classical AKNS-D hierarchy and discrete AKNS-D hierarchy. In order to do that, we have to introduce a deformed difference operator $\Delta_\epsilon$ and shift operator $\Lambda_\epsilon$ as

$$\Delta_\epsilon(f_\epsilon)(n) := \frac{f(x+n\epsilon+\epsilon)-f(x+n\epsilon)}{\epsilon}, \tag{47}$$

$$\Lambda_\epsilon(f_\epsilon)(n) := f(x+n\epsilon+\epsilon). \tag{48}$$

All functions we used should be deformed as $f(n) \to f_\epsilon(n) = f(x+n\epsilon)$. In fact, this program is only changing the length of step. It is easy to see that all results in previous sections will hold under the change of the length of step. We take the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ then we get the classical AKNS-D hierarchy which is briefly introduced in section II. The Taylor extension in the proof of theorem 3 will give the standard relation between $\partial_x$ and $\partial_{k\alpha}$ under this limit, i.e. $\partial_x = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} a_\alpha \partial_{1\alpha}$ [11, 12, 13].

# Acknowledgement

This work is supported by K.C.Wong Education Foundation, Hong Kong. The author would like to thank Prof. K.Wu for his helpful discussion.

# References

[1] A. I. Bobenko and R. Seiler, *Discrete Integrable Geometry and Physics*, Oxford University Press, 1999.

[2] Z. Y. Wu, D. H. Zhang and Q. R. Zheng, J. Phys. A :Math. Gen. **26**(1993) 2389.

[3] J. Mac and M. Seco, J. Math. Phys. **37**(1996) 6510.

[4] E. Frenkel and N. Reshetkhin, Commun. Math. Phys.**178**(1996) 237.

[5] B. Khesin, V. Lyubashenko and C. Roger, J. Func. Anal.**143**(1997) 55.

[6] L. Haine and P. Iliev, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. **30**(1997) 7217.

[7] P. Iliev, Lett. Math. Phys. **44** No.3 (1998) 187.

[8] M. H. Tu, J. C. Shaw and C. R. Lee, Lett. Math. Phys. **49**(1999) 33.

[9] S. K. Wang, K. Wu, X. N. Wu and D. L. Yu, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. **34** (2001) 9641.

[10] L. Haine and P. Iliev, IMRN, No.6 (2000) 281.

[11] L. A. Dickey, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 2996.

[12] L. A. Dickey, *Soliton Euqations and Hamiltonian System*, Advenced Series in Mathmetical Physics, Vol.**12**, World Scientific Press, 1991.

[13] L. A. Dickey, On Segal-Wilson's definition of $\tau$-function of hierarchy AKNS-D and mcKP, in *Integrable Systems : The Verdier Memorial Conference*, Ed. by O. Babelon, P. Cartier and Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Birkhaeuser, Boston, 1993.